

November 4, 2004, Thursday, 8pm

Meeting Log

Purpose: XMPP discussion

Time: 8pm-10pm Location: Sun Labs

Secretary: Steven

Present: Brandi, Steven, Jonas, Jason, Matt

Guests: None

Items Discussed:

Who will present on Tuesday?

- Matt and Steven will present since they haven't yet
- Rock/Paper/Scissor was used to determine Brandi would also present

What to talk about in the presentation

- Recap of project purpose
- Discuss our conflict resolution protocol options
- Recap of general trend of our meetings
- See slides for full details

Status reports

- Jason spent 4 hours on UML for test cases
- Jonas spent 2 hours on test plan last week & 2 hours reviewing XMPP document so far this week
- Matt spent 1 hour on design document
- Steven spent 5 hours on design document & .5 hours updated webpage
- Brandi spent 2 hours revising project plan & 1 hour discussing design document

XML stanzas

- to simplify things, probably always include the to attribute, even when no required
- from is used mainly when setting up a connection and rarely afterwards
- id is optionally a globally unique value – might user for sequence numbers
- type has reserved values and should probably be avoided
- xml:lang specifies language

Message

- “Push” mechanism
- Should include a to

Presence

- Publish/Broadcast mechanism – people subscribe
- Shouldn't include a to, but can

IQ

- Request/Response mechanism

- id and type attributes are required

Which stanza is appropriate for our use

- Most of our messages will be a message
- May use IQ in some cases, perhaps when a new user joins and requests the document

Errors

- Must contain one of the pre-defined error conditions and may contain a text child
- Text should not be read programmatically and presented to user
- May contain application specific custom error condition

Tasks assigned:

None

Next Meeting:

Monday, November 8 ,2004 – 6-8pm

Sun Labs

- Further discussion of XMPP