# Monday, April 25 2005 Meeting Log

Purpose: Finish code, review presentation video

Time: Aptil 25, Monday, 8<sup>th</sup> hour Location: CSSE Conference Room

Secretary: Brandi Soggs

Timekeeper: Steven Montogomery

# **Known Bugs:**

-Concurrency (fixed we think, want to test a little more)

- -Spirals sometimes don't translate correctly
- -Text doesn't send
- -When you delete some piece of the initial document (perhaps just the root of the initial hierarchy) without adding anything new or waiting for the queue to empty, user will be disconnected from Jabber

#### Discussion:

- We cannot decide on a place to eat, email Brandi your preferences and she'll decide based on those
- Thanks to Salaman and Ted for sponsoring today's and next meeting's meal
- Will this be standard in future versions on Inkscape? Maybe the speed will be more reasonable with a local jabber server.

#### Salman:

- If you make a decision without talking to people, they like it about 10%
- If you involve the people when you make the decision, but don't use their input they like it about 70%
- If you involve the people when you make the decision and use their input, they like it about 90%
- In your future decisions, involve everyone and you're more likely to have happy people. So, even if you have a boss who doesn't know anything, ask his/her opinion on critical things. Then, if those things blow up, it's partially his/her responsibility, too!
- Does anyone want to split a road trip down to California at the end of May? Salman will pay for everything, but he doesn't want to do all of the driving.

#### **Presentation Video**

Jonas (introduction):

- A fair number of "Ums, Ahs, Annnnd", but not everywhere
- A lot of hand movement
- More discussion on a simple issue than necessary
- Clear voice. Did not speak too fast to understand

## Steven (progress):

- Pretty good speaking, just a few "ums"

- Voice tended to trail off a the end of sentences
- Good summary of the timeline (it was a little small to just look at)

## Jason (obstacles):

- Pauses in the middle of sentences rather than "ums"
- Good: spoke on more than just reading off the slide
- Spoke a longer than the material really needed
- Voice was loud enough to hear

#### Jonas (obstacles):

- Good making sure an important issue gets discussed Jason (status):
- Good, stressing the key issues.
- Good use of hands- not boring a stiff, but not wild

#### Demo:

- (Jonas) Good job keeping a discussion up the whole time while starting Inkscape, good job letting the observer know what state things are in at all times
- (Jason, Steven): Good commentary: interjections, not interruptions
- (Everyone): You seemed more enthusiastic and sure of yourselves during the demo than on the previous slides. Less stumbling over words, more excitement
- The demo was the most important part, and it rightfully occupied the most time. However, it dragged a little.

### Jonas (Lessons Learned)

- You momentarily forgot that you were presenting this slide (as you mentioned). However, I barely noticed the drag as the observer. Good job.
- Voice sped up here, sometimes it was difficult to make out what you were saying
- Hands were more subdued, more professional than in the introduction

#### **Next Meeting:**

Tuesday, May 2<sup>nd</sup> over dinner. 5:30 at ...?